

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 14 2005

PARSONS & GOLTRYPATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
4000 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE
SUITE 1220
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012EL 602.252.7494
AX 602.252.7198EMAIL: PROTECT@PATENTSAVERS.COM
WWW.PATENTSAVERS.COM**Fax Cover Sheet****DATE:** 14 November 2005**TIME:** 9:00 a.m.**TO:** Examiner Lee
USPTO, ART UNIT 2815**PHONE:**
FAX: (703) 872-9306**FROM:** Robert A. Parsons
Parsons & Goltry**PHONE:** 602-252-7494
FAX: 602-252-7198**RE:** United States Patent Application Number 09/920,222**Number of pages including cover sheet:** 14 pages**Confirmation Copy to follow?** ☐ No ☐ Yes

The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity (ies) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the below address via the U.S. Postal Service. Receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient is not intended as a waiver of any attorney-client or work product privilege.

Message

Attached to this facsimile is the Certification of Facsimile Transmission and the Second Amendment In Response to Examiner's Answer.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 14 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: ROBERT B. DAVIES)
Serial No.: 09/920,222)
Filed: 01 AUGUST 2001)
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE WITH)
INDUCTIVE COMPONENT AND METHOD)
OF MAKING)

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Fax: 703-872-9306

Dear Sirs:

I hereby certify that this correspondence, consisting of Second Amendment In Response to Examiner's Answer is transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306) on the date shown below.


Signature


14 November 2005

CN 29370

4000 North Central Avenue
Suite 1220
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 252-7494

14 November 2005
Date

Respectfully Submitted,


Robert A. Parsons
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 32,713

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 14 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Robert B. Davies)
Serial No.: 09/920,222) Ex: LEE
Filed: 1 August 2001) Art Unit: 2815
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE WITH)
INDUCTIVE COMPONENT AND)
METHOD OF MAKING)

SECOND AMENDMENT
IN RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Honorable Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231
BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Communication, mailed 19 October 2005, in the above identified case. Claims 45 thru 48 were allowed and claims 3, 38 thru 40, 43, and 44 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, in reliance on this decision appellant amend the claims to place them in allowable form.

The Office Communication mailed 19 October 2005 stated that the amendments do not comply with 37 CFR 41.33 (2) in that the dependent claims must be rewritten in independent form. The cited CFR does state that amendments after the

filing of a brief will only be allowed if they place dependent claims in independent form. Since all of the amendments made to the claims in the paper filed on 4/22/05 placed a claim in independent form except an amendment to claim 39, appellant assumes that placing claim 39 in independent form will comply with 37 CFR 41.33 (2). Accordingly, appellant is hereby amending claim 39 simply to place it in independent form. No new amendments are being made.